



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

before the difference arose, were in possession of or known by one party or its predecessors in title, and not communicated to the other party or its predecessors in title, before the difference arose.

10. Solemn written statements (*depositions* *ercites*) made by a witness before a public officer should be admissible in evidence as proof of relevant facts, subject to the right hereinafter mentioned of cross-examining the witness. The probative value of such statements would be for the tribunal.

11. Either party should be entitled to require the other to produce, for oral examination before the tribunal at the hearing, any witness making on behalf of that other party such a statement as is mentioned in paragraph 10, whether the witness be amenable to the jurisdiction of the other party or not.

12. Irrelevant evidence, domestic documents, and the statements of witnesses not produced for oral examination though required, should, on the application of the party against which they are adduced, be expunged from evidence; and the tribunal, on a like application, should be at liberty to direct the reprinting of any volume of case, counter-case, printed argument, or appendix, in which the same should appear or be discussed.

13. The decision should be embodied in a written award in duplicate, made and delivered to the agents within a specified time (*delai*) from the close of the hearing.

RULES RELATING TO AN INTERNATIONAL COURT OF ARBITRATION.

*Proposed by Prof. CORSI, and translated by the late
Mr. C. H. E. CARMICHAEL.*

RULE 1. It being agreed that Courts of Arbitration are called upon to interpret and apply the principles of Law and the Conventions in force among the States which are parties to the Arbitration, the members of these Courts must never be representatives or subjects of any one of said States, or of other States which have a direct interest in the solution of the question at issue.

RULE 2. By way of greater guarantee of independence, the Court of Arbitration should be composed of five or more members, who shall not be capable of having others substituted for them, or of being themselves removed, except for such causes as would, under ordinary rules of procedure, lead to their removal or to the substitution of other judges.

RULE 3. The Court of Arbitration shall have its seat, if possible, outside the territories of the parties to the controversy, and, in any case, its members must be treated as a Diplomatic Mission of the first grade, whether in the matter of the honors paid to them and the immunity of the members in the exercise of their functions, or of public offences on the part of the press or other against their vote and decision.

RULE 4. Every Court of Arbitration is competent to resolve any doubts as to the extent of its jurisdiction; only it cannot extend the periods prescribed by the Treaty unless it be renewed by the parties.

RULE 5. If doubts should arise as to whether a given subject of controversy be comprised among those agreed upon as subjects of Arbitration in a particular treaty, and if one of the parties should require the doubt to be settled by Arbitration, the other party cannot on any pre-

text refuse such Arbitration; it shall only be lawful for it to exact that the judgment be limited to the admissibility of the demand for Arbitration, reserving for itself the right to call for a judgment upon the merits of the case, if necessary, by another Arbitration.

RULE 6. The Arbitration Agreement shall lay down what methods of proof are admissible, and what shall be the periods for their production and examination. Where such are not settled in the Arbitration Agreement, the Arbitrators shall themselves lay down preliminary general rules, or a set of special rules.

RULE 7. The rules relative to admissibility or order of proofs under the Arbitration, or under the special rules which the Arbitrators shall have drawn up at the initial stage of their labors in execution of the Arbitration, shall remain unalterable throughout the duration of the proceedings.

RULE 8. In case of doubt, or of the silence of the Arbitration Agreement, or of the special rules, those modes and forms of proof which have not been expressly excluded by the Arbitration Agreement or by the special rules, and which are not incompatible with the nature of the controversies or with the principles of Public International law, shall be freely admitted.

RULE 9. The Court of Arbitration shall give its judgment upon the vote of a simple majority—without specifying the names and qualifications of the dissenting judges.

The dissenting members of the Court, as such, shall have no right to insert a special Minute of their dissent or of the grounds on which it was based, in the Acts of the Arbitration, save in the case of the majority having explicitly refused to take cognizance of the documents, facts or arguments, upon which such dissent is based.

RULE 10. When the sentence is composed of several decisions or parts, it shall not be published until the Court shall have completely fulfilled its office, saving the right of the President of the Court to communicate a portion or extract thereof, as an official document, to any party which shall show that delay in publication would be injurious to it.

RULE 11. When not expressly forbidden by the Arbitration Agreement, any party may require the Court to review its judgment, saving any rights already acquired under the judgment, if such requisition is based upon any of the following grounds:

- a Conflict between the provisions either of the judgments or of the parts of one and the same judgment.
- b When the decision is expressly founded upon false Documents or other evidence, and the party alleging such falsity had no previous knowledge thereof, and when it has been established by an authority whose competence is not, or cannot be, impugned by the laws of either of the contending parties.
- c Error in fact, in so far as the Award may be based expressly on the existence or non-existence of a particular fact or act, to the existence or non-existence of which exception has not been taken before the Court, or which could not have been demonstrated to it, having only become apparent after the Award by proofs admitted by all parties to be conclusive.